

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶: C08L 23/04	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/05419 (43) International Publication Date: 23 February 1995 (23.02.95)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US94/08943 (22) International Filing Date: 8 August 1994 (08.08.94) (30) Priority Data: 08/107,482 17 August 1993 (17.08.93) US (71) Applicant: THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [US/US]; 2030 Dow Center, Abbott Road, Midlands, MI 48640 (US). (72) Inventors: LAI, Shih-Yaw; 4523 Bermuda Drive, Sugar Land, TX 77479 (US). EDMONDSON, Morris, S.; 130 Mohawk Drive, Alvin, TX 77511 (US). (74) Agent: WARRICK, Noreen, D.; The Dow Chemical Company, Patent Dept., Building B-1211, 2301 N. Brazosport Boul- vard, Freeport, TX 77541 (US).		(81) Designated States: AM, AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, JP, KE, KG, KR, KZ, LK, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SI, SK, TJ, TT, UA, UZ, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG), ARIPO patent (KE, MW, SD). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>With amended claims.</i>
(54) Title: POLYOLEFIN BLENDS AND THEIR SOLID STATE PROCESSING (57) Abstract Polyolefin blends characterized by melting and crystallization curves which exhibit either two distinct melt regions, or wherein one component of the blend has a low softening temperature relative to the individual components of the blend, provide an unusually wide window for solid state processing. The solid state processing characteristics of these blends are independent of the manner in which the blends are formulated, e.g. mechanical mixing, solvent dispersion, and the like.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

POLYOLEFIN BLENDS AND THEIR SOLID STATE PROCESSING

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to polyolefin blends. In one aspect, the invention relates to polyolefin blends suitable for solid state processing while in another aspect, the invention relates to particular blends of various polyethylenes. In still another aspect, the invention relates to polyolefin blends characterized by melting and crystallization curves exhibiting either two distinct melt regions, or wherein one component has a softening point lower than that of a conventional polyolefin, either technique of which provides an unusually wide window for solid state processing.

While the field of metal forming offers a wide variety of solid state processing, e.g. stamping, forging, rolling, extrusion, etc., the solid state processing of semicrystalline polymers is much more limited. To process a thermoplastic in the solid state, typically the processing temperature is maintained at just a few degrees below the melting point of the polymer. If the processing temperature is above the melting point of the polymer, then the processing is simply a melt extrusion. At temperatures considerably below the melting point of the polymer, the polymer is essentially a solid and its deformation requires a tremendous amount of pressure (often in excess of one million psi (7000 MPa)). Such enormous pressures make large deformations very difficult, and result in a relatively low production rate and a generally energy inefficient process. Moreover, since the processing temperature is the most critical parameter in solid state processing, this technique has been limited to those polymers and polymer blends with relatively wide (e.g. at least about 20 degrees on the Celsius scale) ranges between their softening and melting temperatures. Since this temperature range is relatively narrow for conventional polyolefins and their blends, these materials have not been the subject of extensive, commercial-scale solid state processing.

Despite their relatively narrow processing temperature window, the sheer commercial scale of the polyolefin market has generated considerable interest in applying this technique to polyolefins. The

potential benefits to be gained include energy efficiency, speed, and scrap reduction. Ciferri and Ward in "Ultra High Modulus Polymers", Applied Science Publications, London (1979), teach that the extrusion of polymers below the melting point is a promising method of producing highly oriented materials. Krjutchkov, et al. in Polymer Composite, Vol. 7, No. 6, pp. 4-13-420 (1986), disclosed the detailed investigations of the dynamics of solid state extrusion, and they have also postulated a model to correlate the flow of instability in extrudate defects to the extrusion temperature and pressure.

Chung in USP 5,028,663 teaches that certain blends of high density polyethylene (HDPE) and low density polyethylene (LDPE) prepared by solution mixing can achieve a broader operating window for certain solid state processing applications than would otherwise be achieved by mechanical mixing. The melting peak of each component in the blend is separated due to solution precipitation such that large deformation processing can be performed at a temperature between the melting peaks of the two components.

Pawloski, et al. in USP 4,352,766, 4,161,502 and 3,739,052 teach a unique process called Solid Phase Forming (SPF) to form polymers and composites at a pre-melt state. This unique fabrication process induces a biaxial orientation throughout the finished parts.

Enikolopow, et al. in USP 4,607,797 teach a process called Solid State Shear Extrusion or Pulverization to perform polymer extrusion at a temperature below the peak melting temperature of the polymer.

However, Shutov has observed in a 1992 I.I.T. Research Report that certain polymers, such as HDPE, polypropylene (PP) and polycarbonate (PC) did not perform well in this process.

We have discovered a composition suitable for solid state processing, characterized as a blend comprising a first and a second polyolefin, wherein the first polyolefin is characterized as having a softening temperature of at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin, the first polyolefin component comprising at least 10 percent of the total weight of the polyolefin blend.

Preferably, the composition will be characterized as a blend comprising a polyolefin composition suitable for solid state

processing, the composition characterized as a blend comprising: (a) a first homogeneously branched linear or substantially linear polyolefin having a density from 0.850 g/cm³ to 0.910 g/cm³; and (b) a second polyolefin having a density from 0.940 g/cm³ to 0.965 g/cm³, wherein the first polyolefin is characterized by a softening point of at least ten degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening point of the second polyolefin.

We have also discovered a process for shaping a solid polyolefin blend, the process characterized as comprising the following steps:

(a) heating a solid polyolefin blend which comprises at least a first and second polyolefin, the first polyolefin having a softening temperature of at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin, the first polyolefin comprising at least 10 percent of the total weight of the polyolefin blend heated to a processing temperature above the softening temperature of the first polyolefin but below the softening temperature of the second polyolefin; (b) shaping the blend at the processing temperature; and (c) cooling the shaped blend of (b) to a temperature below the processing temperature.

Preferably, the process will be characterized as comprising the following steps: (a) heating to a processing temperature a solid polyolefin blend which comprises: (i) a first homogeneously branched linear or substantially linear polyolefin having a density from 0.850 g/cm³ to 0.910 g/cm³; and (ii) a second polyolefin having a density of from 0.940 g/cm³ to 0.965 g/cm³; wherein the first polymer is characterized by a softening point of at least ten degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening point of the second polyolefin and wherein the processing temperature is above the softening temperature of the first polyolefin but below the softening temperature of the second polyolefin; (b) shaping the blend at the processing temperature; and (c) cooling the shaped blend of (b) to a temperature below the processing temperature.

According to this invention, polyolefin blends characterized by melting and crystallization curves which exhibit either two distinct melt regions, or a low softening point relative to the high melting component of the blend, provide an unusually wide window for solid

state processing. These blends comprise at least 10 percent by weight of the low melting component, and the difference between the peak melting temperature of the low melting component and the high melting component is at least about twenty degrees on the Celsius scale. These blends are processed in their solid state at a temperature above about the peak melting temperature of the low melting component and below the peak melting temperature of the high melting component. The solid state processing characteristics of the blends of this invention are independent of the manner in which the components are mixed, e.g. mechanical, solvent, etc.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The polyolefin blends of this invention comprise two or more, typically two, polyolefin components. These blends are characterized by having a solid state processing temperature (T_p) defined by

$$T_{m1} < T_p < T_{m2}$$

in which the T_{m2} is the peak melting temperature of the high melting component, and T_{m1} is the peak melting temperature of the low melting component. Typically, the difference or range between T_{m2} and T_{m1} , i.e. $T_{m2} - T_{m1}$, is greater than twenty, preferably greater than thirty, and most preferably greater than forty, degrees on the Celsius scale.

The components of the blends of this invention are polyolefins, e.g. polyethylenes, polypropylenes, dienes, styrene, etc. Preferred polyolefins are the various polyethylenes and polypropylenes, and preferred blends are those containing at least one polyethylene, particularly a substantially linear ethylene polymer.

The polyethylenes can be divided into two broad classes, heterogeneously branched and homogeneously branched. The heterogeneously branched polyethylenes that can be used in the practice of this invention fall into two broad categories, those prepared with a free radical initiator at high temperature and high pressure, and those prepared with a coordination catalyst at high temperature and relatively low pressure. The former are generally known as low density polyethylenes (LDPE) and are characterized by branched chains of polymerized monomer units pendant from the polymer backbone. LDPE polymers generally have a density between 0.910 and 0.935 grams per cubic centimeter (g/cm^3).

Ethylene polymers and copolymers prepared by the use of a coordination catalyst, such as a Ziegler or Phillips catalyst, are generally known as linear polymers because of the substantial absence of branch chains of polymerized monomer units pendant from the backbone. High density polyethylene (HDPE), generally having a density of 0.940 to 0.965 g/cm³, is typically a homopolymer of ethylene, and it contains relatively few branch chains relative to the various linear copolymers of ethylene and an α -olefin. HDPE is well known, commercially available in various grades, and is useful in this invention.

Linear copolymers of ethylene and at least one α -olefin of 3 to 12 carbon atoms, preferably of 4 to 8 carbon atoms, are also well known, commercially available and useful in this invention. As is well known in the art, the density of a linear ethylene/ α -olefin copolymer is a function of both the length of the α -olefin and the amount of such monomer in the copolymer relative to the amount of ethylene, the greater the length of the α -olefin and the greater the amount of α -olefin present, the lower the density of the copolymer. Linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) is typically a copolymer of ethylene and an α -olefin of 3 to 12 carbon atoms, preferably 4 to 8 carbon atoms (e.g., 1-butene, 1-octene, etc.), that has sufficient α -olefin content to reduce the density of the copolymer to that of LDPE. When the copolymer contains even more α -olefin, the density will drop below 0.91 g/cm³ and these copolymers are known as ultra low density polyethylene (ULDPE) or very low density polyethylene (VLDPE). The densities of these linear polymers generally range from 0.87 to 0.91 g/cm³.

Both the materials made by the free radical catalysts and by the coordination catalysts are well known in the art, as are their methods of preparation. Relevant discussions of both of these classes of materials, and their methods of preparation, are found in USP 4,950,541 and the patents to which it refers.

The homogeneously branched polyethylenes that can be used in the practice of this invention also fall into two broad categories, the linear homogeneously branched and the substantially linear homogeneously branched. Both are known. The former and their method

of preparation are described in USP 3,645,992 to Elston, and the latter and their method of preparation are fully described in U.S. Patent 5,272,236 and 5,278,272. Examples of the former are the Tafmer™ polymer of Mitsui and the Exact™ polymer of Exxon, while an example of the latter are the polymers made by the Insite™ Technology of The Dow Chemical Company.

As here used, "substantially linear" means that the polymer backbone is substituted with 0.01 long-chain branches/1000 carbons to 3 long-chain branches/1000 carbons, preferably from 0.01 long-chain branches/1000 carbons to 1 long-chain branch/1000 carbons, and more preferably from 0.05 long-chain branches/1000 carbons to 1 long-chain branch/1000 carbons. In contrast, simply "linear" means that long chain branching is essentially absent from the polymer backbone. Long-chain branching is here defined as a chain length of at least 6 carbon atoms, above which the length cannot be distinguished using ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, yet the long-chain branch can be about the same length as the length of the polymer backbone.

These unique substantially linear homogeneously branched polymers (subsequently referred to as "substantially linear ethylene polymers") are prepared by using constrained geometry catalysts (CGC), and are characterized by a narrow molecular weight distribution and if an interpolymer, by a narrow comonomer distribution. As here used, "interpolymer" means a polymer of two or more comonomers, e.g. a copolymer, terpolymer, etc. Other basic characteristics of these substantially linear ethylene polymers include a low residuals content (i.e. low concentrations in the substantially linear ethylene polymer of the catalyst used to prepare the polymer, unreacted comonomers, if any, and low molecular weight oligomers made during the course of the polymerization), and a controlled molecular architecture which provides good processability even though the molecular weight distribution is narrow relative to conventional olefin polymers.

While the substantially linear ethylene polymers used in the practice of this invention include substantially linear ethylene homopolymers, preferably these substantially linear ethylene polymers comprise between about 95 and 50 wt percent ethylene, and about 5 and 50 wt percent of at least one α -olefin comonomer, more preferably 10

to 25 wt percent of at least one α -olefin comonomer. Percent comonomer is measured by Infrared Spectroscopy according to ASTM D-2238 Method B. Typically, the substantially linear ethylene polymers are copolymers of ethylene and an α -olefin of 3 to about 20 carbon atoms (e.g. propylene, 1-butene, 1-hexene, 4-methyl-1-pentene, 1-heptene, 1-octene, styrene, etc.). Typical substantially linear ethylene polymers exhibit a density of at least 0.850 g/cm³, preferably at least 0.870 g/cm³. Typical substantially linear ethylene polymers exhibit a density of no more than 0.960 g/cm³, preferably no more than 0.910 g/cm³. The melt flow ratio, measured as I_{10}/I_2 (ASTM D-1238), is greater than or equal to 5.63, and is preferably from about 6.5 to 15, more preferably from about 7 to 10. The molecular weight distribution (M_w/M_n), measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC), is defined by the equation:

$$M_w/M_n - (I_{10}/I_2) - 4.63,$$

and is preferably between about 1.5 and 2.5. For the substantially linear ethylene polymers, the I_{10}/I_2 ratio indicates the degree of long-chain branching, i.e. the larger the I_{10}/I_2 ratio, the more long-chain branching in the polymer.

The "rheological processing index" (PI) is the apparent viscosity (in kpoise) of a polymer measured by a gas extrusion rheometer (GER). The gas extrusion rheometer is described by M. Shida, R.N. Shroff and L.V. Cancio in Polymer Engineering Science, Vol. 17, No. 11, p. 770 (1977), and in "Rheometers for Molten Plastics" by John Dealy, published by Van Nostrand Reinhold Co. (1982) on pp. 97-99. GER experiments are performed at a temperature of 190°C, at nitrogen pressures between 250 to 5500 (1.8 to 38 MPa) psig using about a 7.54 cm diameter, 20:1 L/D die with an entrance angle of 180°. For the substantially linear ethylene polymers described herein, the PI is the apparent viscosity (in kpoise) of a material measured by GER at an apparent shear stress of 2.15×10^6 dyne/cm². These substantially linear ethylene interpolymers and homopolymers preferably have a PI in the of about 0.01 kpoise to 50 kpoise, preferably 15 kpoise or less, which is less than or equal to 70 percent of the PI of a comparative linear ethylene polymer (either a Ziegler polymerized polymer or a linear uniformly branched polymer as

described by Elston in US Patent 3,645,992) at about the same I_2 and M_w/M_n .

An apparent shear stress versus apparent shear rate plot is used to identify the melt fracture phenomena. According to Ramamurthy in the Journal of Rheology, 30(2), 337-357, 1986, above a certain critical flow rate, the observed extrudate irregularities may be broadly classified into two main types: surface melt fracture and gross melt fracture.

Surface melt fracture occurs under apparently steady flow conditions and ranges in detail from loss of specular film gloss to the more severe form of "sharkskin." In this disclosure, the onset of surface melt fracture (OSMF) is characterized at the beginning of losing extrudate gloss at which the surface roughness of the extrudate can only be detected by 40x magnification. The critical shear rate at the onset of surface melt fracture for the substantially linear ethylene interpolymers and homopolymers is at least 50 percent greater than the critical shear rate at the onset of surface melt fracture of a comparative linear ethylene polymer (either a Ziegler polymerized polymer or a linear uniformly branched polymer as described by Elston in US Patent 3,645,992) having about the same I_2 and M_w/M_n .

Gross melt fracture occurs at unsteady extrusion flow conditions and ranges in detail from regular (alternating rough and smooth, helical, etc.) to random distortions. For commercial acceptability, (e.g., in blown films and bags therefrom), surface defects should be minimal, if not absent, for good film quality and properties. The critical shear stress at the onset of gross melt fracture for the substantially linear ethylene interpolymers and homopolymers used in making the biaxially oriented, heat-shrinkable film of the present invention is greater than 4×10^6 dynes/cm². The critical shear rate at the onset of surface melt fracture (OSMF) and the onset of gross melt fracture (OGMF) will be used herein based on the changes of surface roughness and configurations of the extrudates extruded by a GER.

As here used, "homogeneously branched" means that the comonomer is randomly distributed within a given molecule and that substantially all of the copolymer molecules have the same

ethylene/comonomer ratio. The distribution or homogeneity of comonomer branches for the substantially linear ethylene interpolymers and homopolymers is characterized by its SCBDI (Short Chain Branch Distribution Index) or CDBI (Composition Distribution Branch Index), and it is defined as the weight percent of the polymer molecules having a comonomer content within 50 percent of the median total molar comonomer content. The CDBI of a polymer is readily calculated from data obtained from techniques known in the art, such as, for example, temperature rising elution fractionation (abbreviated herein as "TREF") as described, for example, by Wild et al., Journal of Polymer Science, Poly. Phys. Ed., Vol. 20, p. 441 (1982), or in US Patent 4,798,081. The SCBDI or CDBI for the substantially linear homogeneously branched interpolymers and homopolymers of the present invention is preferably greater than about 30 percent, especially greater than about 50 percent.

Both the linear homogeneously branched and the substantially linear homogeneously branched ethylene polymers used in this invention have a single melting peak, as measured using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), in contrast to heterogeneously branched linear ethylene polymers, which have two or more melting peaks due to their broad branching distribution.

The unique characteristic of the homogeneously branched, substantially linear ethylene polymers is a highly unexpected flow property where the I_{10}/I_2 value of the polymer is essentially independent of the polydispersity index (i.e., M_w/M_n) of the polymer. This is contrasted with conventional linear homogeneously branched and linear heterogeneously branched polyethylene resins having rheological properties such that to increase the I_{10}/I_2 value the polydispersity index must also be increased.

The preferred melt index, measured as I_2 (ASTM D-1238, condition 190/2.16 (formerly condition E)), is from 0.5 g/10 min to 20 g/10 min, more preferably 1 to 5 g/10 min. Typically, the preferred substantially linear ethylene polymers used in the construction of the plastic films used in this practice of this invention are homogeneously branched and do not have any measurable high density fraction, (i.e. short chain branching distribution as measured by

Temperature Rising Elution Fractionation which is described in USP 5,089,321, e.g. they do not contain any polymer fraction that has a degree of branching less than or equal to 2 methyl/1000 carbons. These preferred substantially linear ethylene polymers have a single
5 differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) melting peak.

The polypropylene component of this invention, if present, is a homopolymer or one or more copolymers of propylene and up to about 20 mole percent ethylene or other α -olefin having up to about 12 carbon atoms. If a copolymer, it can be random, block or graft. The
10 polypropylene component of this has a typical melt flow rate (as determined by ASTM D-1238, Condition 230/2.16 (formerly Condition L)) of between 0.1 and 30 g/10 minutes, and preferably between 0.8 and 30 g/10 minutes.

The blends of this invention can also include polyolefins
15 derived from post-consumer recycle (PCR) sources. These materials will vary in composition, but include HDPE derived from milk bottle recycled resin and LLDPE derived from recycled grocery sacks. The PCR resins used in this invention usually have a polyethylene content of at least about 70 wt percent, based on the weight of the resin, up to
20 about 100 wt percent. The polyethylenes in the PCR resins usually have a melt index between 0.1 and 10 g/10 minutes, and a density between 0.86 and 0.97 g/cm³.

Typically, the polyolefin blends of this invention comprise at least 10 percent, preferably at least 20 percent and more preferably
25 at least 30 percent, based on the weight of the blend, of the low melting component. In a preferred embodiment of this invention, the component with the low melting or softening temperature is at least one linear or substantially linear homogeneously branched polyethylene, e.g. at least one of a Tafmer™, Exact™ or a polymer
30 made by Insite™ Technology, or an ethylene-propylene rubber, or an ethylene-propylene-diene monomer terpolymer. More preferably, the low melting temperature component of the blend is a substantially linear homogeneously branched polymer, e.g. a polymer made by Insite™. In these embodiments, the remainder of the blend comprises one or more,
35 preferably one, heterogeneously branched polyethylene.

The solid state processing temperature of these blends is

usually at least 5, and preferably at least 10, degrees on the Celsius scale below the peak melting temperature of the blend component with the highest melting temperature, and it is usually at least 5, preferably at about 10, degrees on the Celsius scale above the melting or softening temperature of the blend component with the lowest melting or softening temperature.

The polyolefin blends of this invention can be prepared by any one of a number of different methods that ensures a relatively homogenous blend, the particular method employed being a matter of convenience. Illustrative methods include roller milling, extrusion, solvent mixing, and the like. Similarly, the blends can be processed by any conventional solid state technique, e.g. stamping, forging, rolling, extrusion, etc.

The following examples are illustrative of certain specific embodiments of this invention. All parts and percentages are by weight unless otherwise noted.

SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

Example 1

Sample E-1 was prepared from a 50/50 blend of CGC resin (a substantially linear ethylene/1-octene copolymer prepared in accordance with the teachings of US Patent Nos. 5,272,236 and 5,278,272 (Sample C-1)) and DOWLEX™ 12065 HDPE resin, available from The Dow Chemical Company (Sample C-2) using a Haake blender operated for 4 minutes at 180°C. Sample C-5 was prepared in the same manner except that it was prepared from a 50/50 blend of conventional LLDPEs (Samples C-3 (DOWLEX™ 2045 LLDPE, available from The Dow Chemical Company) and C-4). All the samples except Sample C-1 were subjected to solid state extrusion evaluation by using an Instron Capillary Rheometer (ICR) at 105°C using a Number 8 die (0.0494 inches (1.255 mm) in diameter, length/diameter ratio of 5.10). Sample E-1 (with a T_p of 50 (133-83)) was successfully extruded at a plunger speed of 0.1 inch (2.54 mm) per minute and at a pressure of 250 psi (1.72 MPa). The other samples (including Sample C-5 with a T_p of 10 (122-132)) could not be extruded at these conditions, or even at the maximum safe extrusion pressure of the ICR of 4500 psi (31 MPa). These results are reported in Table I.

TABLE I

Sample Number	Composition	MI (g/10 min)	Density (g/cm ³)	Mw/Mn	Peak Melt Temp (C)	Solid State Extrudable
E-1	CGC/HDPE*	1.33	0.926		--	Yes
C-1	CGC	1.33	0.893	2	83	NA [±]
C-2	HDPE	1.0	0.959	3.98	133	No
C-3	LLD-3	1.0	0.920	3.8	122	No
C-4	LLD-4	2.0	0.930	3.7	122	No
C-5	LLD-3/LLD-4*	1.4	0.925		--	No

* 50/50/Blend based on weight.

± NA = Not applicable: above melting point

5

Example 2

The procedures of Example 1 were repeated with different samples (although the composition of Sample C-6 was the same as the composition of Sample C-1). C7 was a high density polyethylene available from The Dow Chemical Company as DOWLEXTM 10062. Samples E-2 (a blend of C-6 and C-7) (with a T_p of 51) and E-3 (a blend of C-8 and C-9 (Fina 3824 polypropylene homopolymer)) (with a T_p of 79) were successfully extruded on the ICR at a plunger speed of 0.1 inch (2.54 mm) per minute, a pressure of about 2800 psi (19.3 MPa), a temperature of about 105°C, and using a Number 2 die (0.030 inch (0.762 mm), length/diameter ratio of 33.3). Neither the TafmerTM A-4085 resin (available from Mitsui Petrochemical) nor the polypropylene resin could be extruded at these conditions, or even at the maximum safe extrusion pressure of 4500 psi (31 MPa). The results are reported in Table II.

20

TABLE II

Sample Number	Composition	MI (g/10 min)	Density (g/cm ³)	Mw/Mn	Peak Melt Temp (C)	Solid State Extrudable
E-2	CGC/HDPE*	2.33	0.926		--	Yes
C-6	CGC	1.78	0.893	2	83	NA***
C-7	HDPE	10	0.962	2.73	134	NM [±]
E-3	Tafmer TM /PP**	1.4	0.925	1.9	--	Yes
C-8	Tafmer TM A-4085	1.0	0.88	1.9	88	No
C-9	PP	30****	--		167	No

* 50/50 Blend based on weight.

** 30/70 Blend based on weight.

± NM = not measured.

*** NA = Not applicable: above melting point

**** Melt index determined at 230°C

Example 3

The procedures of Example 1 were repeated with different samples. The compositions of Samples C-10 and C-12 were the same, with both being a substantially linear ethylene/1-octene copolymer prepared in accordance with the teachings of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,272,236 and 5,278,272. The PCR resin of Sample C-13 was post-consumer recycled grocery sack resin from Advanced Environmental Recycling Technology of Little Rock, Arkansas, and the PCR resin of Sample C-11 was post-consumer recycled milk bottle resin FR-120 flake from Akron WTE Corp of Akron, Ohio. Both the resins of Samples E-4 (a blend of C-10 and C-11) and E-5 (a blend of C-12 and C-13) (with a T_p of 49) were successfully extruded under the same conditions as those used in Example 2. Neither the resin of C-11 nor the resin of C-13 were extruded under these conditions, and they could not be extruded under these conditions even at the maximum safe extrusion pressure of 4500 psi (31 MPa). The results are reported in Table III.

TABLE III

Sample Number	Composition	MI (g/10 min)	Density (g/cm ³)	Peak Melt Temp (C)	Solid State Extrudable
E-4	CGC/PCR*	--	0.912	--	Yes
C-10	CGC	1.0	0.882	86	NA**
C-11	PCR	0.75	0.955	132	No
E-5	CGC/PCR*	1.4	0.935	--	Yes
C-12	CGC	1.0	0.882	86	NA**
C-13	PCR	0.4	0.962	135	No

* 30/70 Blend based on weight.

** NA = Not applicable: above melting point.

5

Example 4

The procedures of Example 1 were repeated with different samples. Sample C-14 (which is the same as Sample C-3) was DOWLEXTM 2045 LLDPE (available from The Dow Chemical Company) with a similar melt index and density as Sample E-6 (a blend of C-16 (DOWLEXTM 12065 HDPE, available from The Dow Chemical Company) and C-15 (a substantially linear ethylene/1-octene copolymer having a Mw/Mn of 2 and prepared in accordance with the teachings of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,272,236 and 5,278,272)) (with a T_p of 107). Both Samples E-6 and C-14 were compression molded into a 125 mil (3.175 mm) thick plaque according to the procedures of ASTM-1238. The plaques were heated to 65°C, and then compressed in a 40 ton (2075 psi (14.3 MPa)) solid phase forming machine for 3 minutes. The samples were then cooled under the same pressure, removed at room temperature, and the modulus and percent deformation determined. These results are reported in Table IV, and the modulus and percent deformation characteristics of Sample E-6 are clearly superior to those of Sample C-14.

20

TABLE IV

Sample Number	Composition	MI (g/10 min)	Density (g/cm ³)	Peak Melt Temp (C)	Percent Deformed	Modulus psi (MPa)
E-6	HDPE/CGC	1.01	0.922	--	203	39,900 (275)
C-14	LLD-3	1.0	0.920	122	162	16,120 (111)
C-15	CGC	1.01	0.856	26	NM±	NM±
C-16	HDPE	1.0	0.959	133	NM±	NM±

* 35/65 Blend based on weight.

± NM = Not measured.

5

Although the invention has been described in considerable detail through the preceding examples, this detail is for illustration purposes only, and it is not to be construed as a limitation on the spirit and scope of the invention as described in the following

10

claims.

1. A polyolefin composition suitable for solid state processing, the composition characterized as a blend comprising a first and a second polyolefin, wherein the first polyolefin is characterized as having a softening temperature of at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin, the first polyolefin component comprising at least 10 percent of the total weight of the polyolefin blend.

2. The blend of Claim 1 in which at least one of the first polyolefin and the second polyolefin is a polyethylene homopolymer or an ethylene/ α -olefin copolymer.

3. The blend of Claim 2 in which the first polyolefin is a homogeneously branched linear polyethylene or a homogeneously branched substantially linear polyethylene characterized as having:

- a. a melt flow ratio, $I_{10}/I_2 \geq 5.63$
- b. a molecular weight distribution, M_w/M_n , defined by the equation:

$$M_w/M_n \leq (I_{10}/I_2) - 4.63; \text{ and}$$

- c. a critical shear stress at the onset of gross melt fracture of greater than about 4×10^6 dyne/cm².

4. The blend of Claim 3 in which the second polyolefin is a heterogeneously branched polyethylene.

5. The blend of any of the preceding Claims wherein the second polyolefin is characterized as at least one of high density polyethylene, low density polyethylene, linear low density polyethylene, and ultra low linear low density polyethylene.

6. A polyolefin composition suitable for solid state processing, the composition characterized as a blend comprising:

- a. a first homogeneously branched linear or substantially linear polyolefin having a density from 0.850 g/cm³ to 0.910 g/cm³; and
- b. a second polyolefin having a density from 0.940 g/cm³ to

0.965 g/cm³;

wherein the first polyolefin is characterized by a softening point of at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening point of the second polyolefin.

5

7. The blend of any of the preceeding Claims in which the softening point of the first polyolefin is at least 20 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening point of the second polyolefin.

10

8. The blend of any of the preceeding Claims in which the first polyolefin comprises at least 20 percent of the total weight of the polyolefin blend.

15

9. The blend of any of the preceeding Claims in which the second polyolefin comprises a post-consumer recycle polyethylene resin.

10. The blend of any of the preceeding Claims in which the second polyolefin is a polypropylene.

20

11. A process for shaping a solid polyolefin blend, the process characterized as comprising the following steps :

25

a. Heating a solid polyolefin blend which comprises at least a first and second polyolefin, the first polyolefin having a softening temperature of at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin, the first polyolefin comprising at least 10 percent of the total weight of the polyolefin blend, to a processing temperature above the softening temperature of the first polyolefin but below the softening temperature of the second polyolefin;

30

b. Shaping the blend at the processing temperature; and
c. Cooling the shaped blend of (B) to a temperature below the processing temperature.

35

12. The process of Claim 11 in which the first polyolefin is a homogeneously branched linear polyethylene or a substantially linear

homogeneously branched polyethylene characterized as having

- a. a melt flow ratio, $I_{10}/I_2 \geq 5.63$
- b. a molecular weight distribution, M_w/M_n , defined by the equation:

5
$$M_w/M_n \leq (I_{10}/I_2) - 4.63; \text{ and}$$

- c. a critical shear stress at the onset of gross melt fracture of greater than about 4×10^6 dyne/cm².

10 13. The process of Claim 12 in which the second polyolefin is at least one of high density polyethylene, low density polyethylene, linear low density polyethylene, and ultra low density polyethylene.

14. A process for shaping a solid polyolefin blend, the process characterized as comprising the following steps:

- 15 a. heating to a processing temperature a solid polyolefin blend which comprises: (i) a first homogeneously branched linear or substantially linear polyolefin having a density from 0.850 g/cm³ to 0.910 g/cm³; and (ii) a second polyolefin having a density of from 0.940 g/cm³ to 0.965 g/cm³; wherein the first polymer is characterized by a softening point of at least ten degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening point of the second polyolefin and wherein the processing temperature is above the softening temperature of the first polyolefin but
- 20 below the softening temperature of the second polyolefin;
- 25 b. shaping the blend at the processing temperature; and
- c. cooling the shaped blend of (b) to a temperature below the processing temperature.

30 15. The process of any of Claims 11-14 in which the first polyolefin comprises at least 20 percent of the total weight of the polyolefin blend.

35 16. The process of Claim 15 in which the processing temperature is a temperature of at least 5 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the first polyolefin, and at least 5

degrees on the Celsius scale higher than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin.

5 17. The process of Claim 15 in which the processing temperature is at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin, and at least 10 degrees higher than the softening temperature of the first polyolefin.

10 18. The process of any of Claims 11-14 in which the polyolefin blend is prepared by mechanically mixing the first and second polyolefins with one another.

19. An article prepared by the process of Claim 11.

15 20. The process of any of Claims 11-14 in which the second polyolefin is a polypropylene.

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 11 January 1995 (11.01.95);
original claims 1-20 replaced by amended claims 1-16 (4 pages)]

1. A polyolefin composition suitable for solid state processing,
the composition characterized as a blend comprising a first and a
second polyolefin, wherein:

5 the first polyolefin is characterized as a homogeneously
 branched substantially linear polyethylene characterized as
 having:

- a. a melt flow ratio, $I_{10}/I_2 \geq 5.63$
 b. a molecular weight distribution, M_w/M_n , defined by
10 the equation:

$$M_w/M_n \leq (I_{10}/I_2) - 4.63; \text{ and}$$

- c. a critical shear stress at the onset of gross melt
 fracture of greater than about 4×10^6 dyne/cm²,

15 the second polyolefin is a heterogeneously branched polyethylene
 homopolymer, heterogeneously branched ethylene/ α -olefin
 copolymer or a polypropylene;

 the first polyolefin is further characterized as having a
20 softening temperature of at least 10 degrees on the Celsius
 scale lower than the softening temperature of the second
 polyolefin, and

 the first polyolefin component comprises at least 10 percent of
25 the total weight of the polyolefin blend.

2. The blend Claim 1 wherein the second polyolefin is
characterized as at least one of high density polyethylene, low
density polyethylene, linear low density polyethylene, and ultra low
30 linear low density polyethylene.

3. A polyolefin composition suitable for solid state
processing, the composition characterized as a blend comprising:

- a. a first homogeneously branched linear or substantially
35 linear polyolefin having a density from 0.850 g/cm³ to
 0.910 g/cm³; and

- b. a second polyolefin having a density from 0.940 g/cm³ to 0.965 g/cm³;

wherein the first polyolefin is characterized by a softening point of at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening point of the second polyolefin.

4. The blend of any of the preceeding Claims in which the softening point of the first polyolefin is at least 20 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening point of the second polyolefin.

5. The blend of any of the preceeding Claims in which the first polyolefin comprises at least 20 percent of the total weight of the polyolefin blend.

6. The blend of any of the preceeding Claims in which the second polyolefin comprises a post-consumer recycle polyethylene resin.

7. The blend of any of the preceeding Claims in which the second polyolefin is a polypropylene.

8. A process for shaping a solid polyolefin blend, the process characterized as comprising the following steps :

- a. Heating a solid polyolefin blend which comprises at least a first and second polyolefin, wherein the first polyolefin is characterized as a homogeneously branched substantially linear polyethylene characterized as having:

- i. a melt flow ratio, $I_{10}/I_2 \geq 5.63$
ii. a molecular weight distribution, M_w/M_n , defined by the equation:

$$M_w/M_n \leq (I_{10}/I_2) - 4.63; \text{ and}$$

- iii. a critical shear stress at the onset of gross melt fracture of greater than about 4×10^6 dyne/cm²,

the second polyolefin is a heterogeneously branched polyethylene homopolymer, heterogeneously branched

ethylene/ α -olefin copolymer or a polypropylene;

the first polyolefin is further characterized as having a softening temperature of at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin, and the first polyolefin component comprises at least 10 percent of the total weight of the polyolefin blend;

- b. Shaping the blend at the processing temperature; and
- c. Cooling the shaped blend of (B) to a temperature below the processing temperature.

9. The process of Claim 8 in which the second polyolefin is at least one of high density polyethylene, low density polyethylene, linear low density polyethylene, and ultra low density polyethylene.

10. A process for shaping a solid polyolefin blend, the process characterized as comprising the following steps:

- a. heating to a processing temperature a solid polyolefin blend which comprises: (i) a first homogeneously branched linear or substantially linear polyolefin having a density from 0.850 g/cm³ to 0.910 g/cm³; and (ii) a second polyolefin having a density of from 0.940 g/cm³ to 0.965 g/cm³; wherein the first polymer is characterized by a softening point of at least ten degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening point of the second polyolefin and wherein the processing temperature is above the softening temperature of the first polyolefin but below the softening temperature of the second polyolefin;
- b. shaping the blend at the processing temperature; and
- c. cooling the shaped blend of (b) to a temperature below the processing temperature.

11. The process of any of Claims 8-10 in which the first polyolefin comprises at least 20 percent of the total weight of the

polyolefin blend.

12. The process of Claim 11 in which the processing temperature is a temperature of at least 5 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the first polyolefin, and at least 5
5 degrees on the Celsius scale higher than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin.

13. The process of Claim 11 in which the processing temperature
10 is at least 10 degrees on the Celsius scale lower than the softening temperature of the second polyolefin, and at least 10 degrees higher than the softening temperature of the first polyolefin.

14. The process of any of Claims 8-10 in which the polyolefin
15 blend is prepared by mechanically mixing the first and second polyolefins with one another.

15. An article prepared by the process of Claim 8.

20 16. The process of any of Claims 8-10 in which the second polyolefin is a polypropylene.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 94/08943

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 C08L23/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 C08L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO,A,93 13143 (THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY) 8 July 1993 see page 4, line 25 - line 38; claims see page 15, paragraph 1; tables 2,3	1-3,7,8
Y	---	11
Y	US,A,5 028 663 (CHUNG C I) 2 July 1991 cited in the application see claims	11
P,X	---	1,2
P,X	EP,A,0 590 739 (SUMITOMO CHEMICAL COMPANY) 6 April 1994 see claims	1,2
P,X	---	1,2
	EP,A,0 572 034 (IDEMITSU KOSAN COMPANY LIMITED) 1 December 1993 see claim 8	1,2

	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 October 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

11. 11. 94

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Clemente Garcia, R

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter mal Application No
PCT/US 94/08943

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP,A,0 351 189 (SUMITOMO CHEMCIAL COMPANY LTD.) 17 January 1990 see claim 3 -----	1,2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 94/08943

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO-A-9313143	08-07-93	CA-A- 2125780 EP-A- 0619827 FI-A- 943116	08-07-93 19-10-94 29-06-94
US-A-5028663	02-07-91	NONE	
EP-A-0590739	06-04-94	EP-A- 0351189 JP-A- 2153908 US-A- 4981760	17-01-90 13-06-90 01-01-91
EP-A-0572034	01-12-93	NONE	
EP-A-0351189	17-01-90	EP-A- 0590739 JP-A- 2153908 US-A- 4981760	06-04-94 13-06-90 01-01-91